

Agricultural Innovation Board Meeting
January 23, 2023 1pm-2:15pm
Transcript Text

0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:1.140

Dwinell, Steve
Welcome to the.

0:0:2.450 --> 0:0:29.230

Dwinell, Steve
January 23rd, 2023 meeting of the Agricultural Innovation Board I sorry, we're not all meeting in person. We were planning to, but then the weather decided we couldn't or we shouldn't. Whatever. Anyway. So what? Everybody's here. We'll go around and do introductions. And why don't we start in the the meeting room at Vail with folks that are there.

0:0:30.910 --> 0:0:32.820

Griffith, Morgan
Sure, all, Sir Morgan Griffith.

0:0:32.900 --> 0:0:42.680

Griffith, Morgan
Umm. And what the agency of AG working with Steve Grinnell and kind of the point person point contact person for the AIB?

0:0:44.180 --> 0:0:51.370

Griffith, Morgan
Stephanie Smith uh with the Vermont Agency of Agriculture and Work in support of the efforts of the AB.

0:0:52.540 --> 0:0:53.290

Dwinell, Steve
And Morgan?

0:0:52.470 --> 0:0:53.450

Griffith, Morgan
Zach schikowski.

0:0:54.180 --> 0:0:54.460

Griffith, Morgan
So.

0:0:54.610 --> 0:0:54.950

Dwinell, Steve
Sorry.

0:0:55.800 --> 0:1:3.90

Griffith, Morgan
It's sorry, Steve. Uh, zacharski also at the Agency of Agriculture, Agricultural Resource management specialist.

0:1:3.690 --> 0:1:8.70

Dwinell, Steve

OK, sorry I didn't realize you were in the room there. They were not visible.

0:1:8.750 --> 0:1:17.800

Dwinell, Steve

So hey, Morgan, that you've got the official screen. Why don't you call out the board members who are on the screen and then we'll do the members of the public and stat.

0:1:18.730 --> 0:1:21.380

Griffith, Morgan

Who are John? Won't you go ahead?

0:1:22.540 --> 0:1:24.960

Jonathan Chamberlin

Yeah. Jonathan Chamberlain, corrupt consultant.

0:1:28.510 --> 0:1:29.120

Griffith, Morgan

When you do.

0:1:30.890 --> 0:1:36.510

Wendy Sue Harper (Guest)

Wendy Sue Harper. I'm a soil scientist and I hold the soil biology position here.

0:1:39.460 --> 0:1:39.970

Griffith, Morgan

Terry.

0:1:41.260 --> 0:1:45.930

Terence Bradshaw

Terry Bradshaw, University of Vermont representing fruit and vegetable growers.

0:1:48.340 --> 0:1:48.800

Griffith, Morgan

Roy.

0:1:51.760 --> 0:1:55.30

Roy Beckford

Roy back for University of Vermont extension director.

0:1:55.800 --> 0:1:58.430

Roy Beckford

Presenting the Center for Sustainable Agriculture.

0:2:0.400 --> 0:2:1.760

Griffith, Morgan

Thanks Ryan.

0:2:3.250 --> 0:2:6.500

rrebozo

Ryan Rebozo, science director for the Vermont Center for Eco Studies.

0:2:9.0 --> 0:2:9.590

Griffith, Morgan

Earl.

0:2:12.110 --> 0:2:16.430

Earl Ransom

Earl Ransom, organic dairy farmer from Stratford representing organic farmers.

0:2:18.930 --> 0:2:19.770

Griffith, Morgan

Laura Cohen.

0:2:20.330 --> 0:2:24.800

DiPietro, Laura

Laura Depietro, Water Quality division director, agency of agriculture.

0:2:29.210 --> 0:2:29.970

Griffith, Morgan

Clara.

0:2:34.170 --> 0:2:34.580

Griffith, Morgan

8.

0:2:34.20 --> 0:2:38.970

Clara Ayer

Kept Clara air conventional dairy farmer representing conventional dairy.

0:2:43.40 --> 0:2:44.150

Griffith, Morgan

Clarice. Colin.

0:2:46.950 --> 0:2:51.480

Cutler, Clarice

Hi. I'm Clarice Cutler from the watershed management division representing Anwar.

0:2:59.730 --> 0:3:3.200

Griffith, Morgan

Steve, I think you're the last member.

0:3:5.810 --> 0:3:7.900

Griffith, Morgan

Do I know? Oh, no and Steve sugar.

0:3:9.10 --> 0:3:11.250

Griffith, Morgan

You say yes if you were.

0:3:12.660 --> 0:3:17.220

Terence Bradshaw

Steve just chatted that he can't hear anything, so he doesn't know that you're calling his name.

0:3:17.590 --> 0:3:18.270

Griffith, Morgan

OK.

0:3:20.80 --> 0:3:22.670

Griffith, Morgan

Umm. Let's see if I can get him back in.

0:3:28.790 --> 0:3:30.100

Griffith, Morgan

You can hear us now.

0:3:32.110 --> 0:3:32.470

Griffith, Morgan

Alright.

0:3:31.850 --> 0:3:33.900

Steve Schubart

Yes. So that I apologize.

0:3:34.720 --> 0:3:36.160

Griffith, Morgan

Uh, go ahead and introduce yourself.

0:3:36.660 --> 0:3:38.410

Steve Schubart

Yes, sorry, Steve Schubart.

0:3:39.630 --> 0:3:45.950

Steve Schubart

I'm a cattle farmer and I am on the board. I'm sorry, were there any more?

0:3:46.900 --> 0:3:47.450

Steve Schubart

Prompts.

0:3:48.100 --> 0:3:50.410

Griffith, Morgan

That's that's good enough. Good job.

0:3:50.700 --> 0:3:52.450

Steve Schubart

Thank you very much. Glad to be here.

0:3:54.530 --> 0:3:55.370

Griffith, Morgan

Thank you for your comment.

0:3:56.970 --> 0:4:1.580

Griffith, Morgan

Umm, alright, so let's go. Patty. Patty. Casey.

0:4:3.160 --> 0:4:8.670

Casey, Patti

I folks. Yes, I'm Patty Casey and the environmental surveillance program manager with the Agency of Agriculture.

0:4:12.40 --> 0:4:12.580

Griffith, Morgan

Jill.

0:4:18.590 --> 0:4:21.850

Griffith, Morgan

Yeah, you may have just joined. We're just just introduce yourself in.

0:4:26.860 --> 0:4:27.170

Goss, Jill

I.

0:4:24.520 --> 0:4:28.790

Griffith, Morgan

We work for. Maybe we should not connected quite. Ohh there should, yeah.

0:4:30.730 --> 0:4:33.620

Goss, Jill

It's a little shaky here, but I'm still shappell.

0:4:34.320 --> 0:4:38.650

Goss, Jill

And I'm a speed seeding fertilizer program specialist.

0:4:40.490 --> 0:4:40.960

Griffith, Morgan

Thank you.

0:4:41.740 --> 0:4:42.960

Griffith, Morgan

Umm Chris Anderson.

0:4:49.670 --> 0:4:53.720

Chris Anderson

Hi I'm a member of Earth matters down in Manchester.

0:4:55.20 --> 0:4:57.20

Chris Anderson

I'm just observing your meeting.

0:4:58.440 --> 0:4:58.980

Griffith, Morgan

Speaking.

0:5:0.540 --> 0:5:1.110

Griffith, Morgan

Reckoning.

0:5:4.300 --> 0:5:8.90

Creaser, Bethany

Bethany freezer with the Vermont Agency of I just here to listen in.

0:5:12.600 --> 0:5:17.210

Decker, Brooke

Hi. I'm Brooke Decker. Umm pollinator health specialist with the Agency of Agriculture.

0:5:20.400 --> 0:5:20.730

Griffith, Morgan

OK.

0:5:21.960 --> 0:5:23.90

Griffith, Morgan

And gabbert.

0:5:25.80 --> 0:5:27.340

Dillon Gabbert

Hello. I'm Dylan Gabbert with Bayer crop science.

0:5:33.670 --> 0:5:34.690

Griffith, Morgan

Friend Putnam.

0:5:37.680 --> 0:5:41.590

Fred Putnam, Jr. VT Beekeepers Association

Now, how do you print on them? With the Vermont Beekeepers Association? Thanks for having me today.

0:5:43.600 --> 0:5:44.190

Griffith, Morgan

Do any?

0:5:45.750 --> 0:5:46.540

Griffith, Morgan

They Huber.

0:5:49.470 --> 0:5:54.10

Huber, David

Hey, everybody. Dave, you were deputy director of the Farm Division with the agency that agriculture.

0:5:58.550 --> 0:5:59.410

Griffith, Morgan

Jonathan wolf.

0:6:3.330 --> 0:6:8.60

Jonathan Wolff

Hi everyone. Uh Jonathan Wolff with Primer Piper on behalf of crop life and biotechnology.

0:6:12.100 --> 0:6:13.320

Griffith, Morgan

And Margaret, I guess.

0:6:15.560 --> 0:6:19.520

margaret laggis

Hi everyone. Margaret Lagus on behalf of Bio and Crop Life America.

0:6:23.510 --> 0:6:24.0

Griffith, Morgan

What?

0:6:26.770 --> 0:6:32.610

Parmelee, Clark

Hi Clark Parmley with the Vermont Agency of AG. I'm a agg resource management specialist with the agency.

0:6:37.90 --> 0:6:41.840

Griffith, Morgan

Thanks, Sarah. I see that you're on. We're just finishing up our introductions.

0:6:45.90 --> 0:6:47.950

Vose, Sarah

I'm Sarah. Those. I'm not toxicologist at the health department.

0:6:52.100 --> 0:6:52.530

Griffith, Morgan

And.

0:6:52.610 --> 0:6:57.600

Griffith, Morgan

And Steve, I think you're the last seed. Why now?

0:6:58.340 --> 0:7:6.750

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah, yeah. Steve Bonnell, Director, public health, agriculture resource management division and representing the Agency of Better filter food markets.

0:7:7.960 --> 0:7:8.330

Dwinell, Steve

So.

0:7:8.990 --> 0:7:19.400

Dwinell, Steve

Thank you everybody. I think this is one of the biggest. It's the biggest group that's been here since I've been here and maybe one of the biggest we've had. So really appreciate everybody taking the time, I think we're.

0:7:20.560 --> 0:7:30.0

Dwinell, Steve

Didn't gonna start getting a lot done here and this is a good start and appreciate everybody participating in and listening in so.

0:7:31.160 --> 0:7:34.650

Dwinell, Steve

Morgan, you wanna kick it off with the minutes?

0:7:35.590 --> 0:7:36.120

Dwinell, Steve

Review.

0:7:37.70 --> 0:7:37.690

Griffith, Morgan

Sure.

0:7:38.690 --> 0:7:46.860

Griffith, Morgan

Uh, you want to bring them up? Yeah, I think definitely going to be my share. So last.

0:7:48.830 --> 0:8:5.920

Griffith, Morgan

We met on December 19th. The Minutes are have been posted on our website with all of our meeting information and is there anything and then action items were sent out on as reminders to the aid members.

0:8:6.970 --> 0:8:21.790

Griffith, Morgan

And I think we did pretty well offering those. Is there anything that any member would like to bring up about those last minutes or we good to go as they are posted?

0:8:28.120 --> 0:8:29.580

Griffith, Morgan

Or just put them in the chat.

0:8:31.910 --> 0:8:32.340

Griffith, Morgan

But.

0:8:32.360 --> 0:8:38.130

Dwinell, Steve

You know, I I think for the for the purposes of this meeting with this many people and I think probably the best thing, the best.

0:8:40.170 --> 0:8:50.370

Dwinell, Steve

Thing to do is if you have a comment or a question, raise your hand and between Morgan, me and Stephanie, we can keep track of who's got hand right hands raised. But I think that will work best.

0:8:51.130 --> 0:8:55.880

Dwinell, Steve

In this particular situation, so if you have a question or a comment.

0:8:57.80 --> 0:9:11.670

Dwinell, Steve

Now, please raise your hand using the the hand raising thing and also you know certainly couldn't put questions in the in the chat although the chats a little harder to keep track of when we're when we're moving fast. So anyway.

0:9:12.840 --> 0:9:20.170

Dwinell, Steve

And of course, keep your microphone muted if you can. When you're not speaking so we don't get extraneous noise, so.

0:9:21.350 --> 0:9:25.180

Dwinell, Steve

So we have the minutes from the December 19th meeting and you know.

0:9:26.360 --> 0:9:30.280

Dwinell, Steve

There are no corrections or questions about the minutes.

0:9:31.480 --> 0:9:34.240

Dwinell, Steve

We can go ahead and you know.

0:9:35.460 --> 0:9:38.950

Dwinell, Steve

Record them as accepted. Lori, have question.

0:9:39.750 --> 0:9:48.910

DiPietro, Laura

Yeah, I'm just looking on the Age Innovation Board team SharePoint site and I see a bunch of minutes, but I don't see the date of the December minutes.

0:9:52.200 --> 0:9:52.500

DiPietro, Laura

OK.

0:9:49.220 --> 0:9:54.20

Griffith, Morgan

Yeah, sorry they didn't get on the team site. They got to website and not the teams.

0:9:54.290 --> 0:9:57.100

Dwinell, Steve

They got posted on the website but not the team site.

0:9:57.260 --> 0:9:57.920

Griffith, Morgan

That's my.

0:9:57.370 --> 0:9:57.940

DiPietro, Laura

Got it.

0:9:58.820 --> 0:9:59.240

Griffith, Morgan

Sorry.

0:10:0.380 --> 0:10:1.680

Dwinell, Steve

Morgan gets one demerit.

0:10:2.310 --> 0:10:3.60

Griffith, Morgan

Yeah.

0:10:3.690 --> 0:10:4.800

DiPietro, Laura

Sorry, Morgan.

0:10:3.10 --> 0:10:4.880

Dwinell, Steve

Against all the wonderful things you've been doing.

0:10:5.360 --> 0:10:6.50

Griffith, Morgan

OK.

0:10:8.50 --> 0:10:8.700

Griffith, Morgan
I'll own it.

0:10:12.870 --> 0:10:13.320

Griffith, Morgan
OK.

0:10:15.520 --> 0:10:18.500

Dwinell, Steve
So I don't know corrections. Then we'll take those as.

0:10:20.950 --> 0:10:23.800

Dwinell, Steve
OK, the posters are record and.

0:10:25.590 --> 0:10:28.280

Dwinell, Steve
And then we can go to the next item on the agenda.

0:10:29.560 --> 0:10:34.330

Dwinell, Steve
Which is a just a a report on the annual report.

0:10:35.770 --> 0:10:39.620

Dwinell, Steve
And more than you wanna, since you actually submitted it. Do you wanna take that one too?

0:10:41.80 --> 0:10:46.860

Griffith, Morgan
Sure. So this one did get sent out to you an e-mail. So it was the.

0:10:47.510 --> 0:10:53.340

Griffith, Morgan
Report that we went through as a group in December and then Umm so it got submitted.

0:10:54.910 --> 0:11:2.350

Griffith, Morgan
The probably earlier in the week of January 15th and so it's available on the legislative reports.

0:11:3.770 --> 0:11:18.80

Griffith, Morgan
Web page and also on our AIB web page but so that was sent to you guys but you had seen it. The only there were some minor changes after our legal counsel reviewed it within the agency.

0:11:19.630 --> 0:11:27.730

Griffith, Morgan
Uh, but you should have that. Those final reports and then that's it. So now I'm further on in the agenda. We'll talk about our next report. That's good.

0:11:30.380 --> 0:11:35.770

Dwinell, Steve

But yeah. So thank you everybody for reviewing that and commenting on it and.

0:11:35.850 --> 0:11:39.920

Dwinell, Steve

So so we have our one reporting requirement out of the.

0:11:40.780 --> 0:11:43.140

Dwinell, Steve

Check off. We can check off that off our list.

0:11:43.800 --> 0:11:47.290

Dwinell, Steve

Umm. And you know we'll.

0:11:48.150 --> 0:11:50.520

Dwinell, Steve

We got some other things we have to do, but that at least that ones not.

0:11:52.840 --> 0:11:55.940

Dwinell, Steve

So if there's no more questions or comments about that.

0:11:57.0 --> 0:12:5.90

Dwinell, Steve

We can go ahead and have a quick update from our two deputy directors on farm activities and and Dave, you wanna start?

0:12:5.890 --> 0:12:7.10

Dwinell, Steve

With a quick update.

0:12:8.550 --> 0:12:12.320

Huber, David

Sure. Hey everybody. Hope everyone's staying safe with all the snow out there.

0:12:13.960 --> 0:12:25.740

Huber, David

I'm Dave Huber, one of the two deputy directors for the public health and agricultural Resource Management division. To keep my camera off just because of Internet bandwidth here where I am.

0:12:26.940 --> 0:12:42.320

Huber, David

But my update for this group is pertaining to the pesticide rule. This is an amended rule that on its fourth attempt through elkar, the Legislative Committee on Administrative Rules this past Thursday, it went through.

0:12:44.260 --> 0:13:6.730

Huber, David

The next step is to issue a filing set for this final rule, which will then become the adopted new rule. It's anticipated that this rule will come into effect. Probably the end very late middle of February, and once it does, the agency, specifically the Farm division, will be.

0:13:7.930 --> 0:13:22.360

Huber, David

Entering an educational phase, as with regards to the regulated community and trying to get everyone up to speed on the new components of the rule, many of the parts of the rule are the same. From the 91 regs.

0:13:22.480 --> 0:13:32.630

Huber, David

Uh, and the parts that have changed the agency again will be engaging in a educational campaign with members of the regulated community.

0:13:33.410 --> 0:13:56.850

Huber, David

Uh. If anyone has any questions about the rule, please don't hesitate to get into contact with me. I've dropped my information in the chat for the last time we met, but I'll do the same in the chat at this during this meeting here. So if anyone is interested or has any questions about the rule, the process, the procedure or anything like that, don't hesitate to get in contact with me.

0:13:57.690 --> 0:14:1.800

Huber, David

And I believe that that is the big update that I have for this group.

0:14:5.0 --> 0:14:5.620

Dwinell, Steve

You know, thank you.

0:14:6.780 --> 0:14:8.350

Dwinell, Steve

There'll be a a.

0:14:9.530 --> 0:14:25.520

Dwinell, Steve

Fair amount of implementation work that as a division we have to do and will be proceeding with that. You know over the next couple weeks to get everything lined up and of course it any anytime anyone has any questions about any of that, just just let us know.

0:14:27.220 --> 0:14:28.300

Dwinell, Steve

OK, Stephanie.

0:14:29.490 --> 0:14:33.380

Griffith, Morgan

Yeah. So I'm gonna update folks on our UM.

0:14:34.600 --> 0:14:48.730

Griffith, Morgan

Treated seed uh reports this is not a legislative report like we just spoke about. This is just a report that is, well, actually it's reporting that's due to the agency by February 15th.

0:14:50.10 --> 0:15:11.700

Griffith, Morgan

But it is information that we collect in order to understand what's treated and untreated when seeds are sold into the state of Vermont. So while this report or the reporting to the agency doesn't do until February 15th, the agency did go ahead and begin to work with folks that distribute seeds in the state of Vermont to gather some information. So.

0:15:14.30 --> 0:15:35.40

Griffith, Morgan

What we're required to do is to gather information on agricultural seeds sold in the state of Vermont from manufacturers and processors that are distributing it's due by February 15th. As I said, the reporting is due on forms provided by the Secretary and it's regarding the quantity of treated seed and the quantity of untreated seeds sold in the state of Vermont.

0:15:36.780 --> 0:16:4.270

Griffith, Morgan

And so we began this effort on in December 2022, the end of December where we actually send out the the form that needs to be completed and we ask those distributors and we primarily chose those that sell £10 or greater. So those that need to report tonnage to the agency specifically. And so we reached out to those 48.

0:16:5.410 --> 0:16:12.640

Griffith, Morgan

Folks or distributors that meet the tonnage requirement or have to report tonnage to us and then we ask them to fill out the form.

0:16:13.960 --> 0:16:19.990

Griffith, Morgan

This is the first year that the agencies asked for this treatment. Types that are applied to the various.

0:16:21.700 --> 0:16:25.510

Griffith, Morgan

Types of seed that are sold in the state of Vermont so that that was new this year.

0:16:27.80 --> 0:16:59.10

Griffith, Morgan

Then additionally, beginning in the beginning of the year, agency staff contacted individually each of those distributors by contacting whatever listed information that was provided to us at the time they registered as distributors and some instances we were able to gather more information. In some

instances, the individual or the contact did not actually have that information available to them, so they were unable to report it to us. And sometimes those forms were in process and so we will eventually get them before February.

0:16:59.110 --> 0:16:59.760

Griffith, Morgan
15th.

0:17:1.180 --> 0:17:15.750

Griffith, Morgan

We found that a number of registered distributors actually have a specific contact. It's the same person who represents multiple distributors, so we presume about 30% response rate at this point in time.

0:17:17.20 --> 0:17:36.130

Griffith, Morgan

This is a graph of what what you know, three answered that they sold untreated seed to answer the sole treated seed, and then seven both treated and untreated, and one did not sell in the state of Vermont in this year. The and it's specific for agricultural seeds. So that's a.

0:17:36.820 --> 0:17:59.690

Griffith, Morgan

We actually ask for a bunch more different types of of seed, not just agricultural seeds. So for instance, flower and vegetable seeds do not meet the definition of agricultural seed. However, we did get information from folks regarding flower and vegetable seed, so we appreciate folks volunteering that information to us. So this is the graph for untreated seed.

0:18:1.790 --> 0:18:5.80

Griffith, Morgan

Those that sell it and then here is treated seed.

0:18:5.980 --> 0:18:12.290

Griffith, Morgan

The kinds, so we have none for cereal grain corn to respondents.

0:18:14.120 --> 0:18:24.400

Griffith, Morgan

Cover crops so and so forth. This information will be posted on the website, so I'm not gonna go through every individual line here, but we also in addition we asked questions.

0:18:26.140 --> 0:18:48.950

Griffith, Morgan

The size that they sold. So one respondent cells only see packets, bags, containers less than £10, so they actually wouldn't meet the requirement for tonnage 1 respondent only selling greater than £10 and then five sell them both kind of categories. Again one did not sell in Vermont and one did not answer the question so.

0:18:50.120 --> 0:19:7.160

Griffith, Morgan

Relative to sea treatments, so this is the pounds that were sold of treated and and untreated seed. And then the types of treatments. If it was a treated seed type. And then also if it's sold to do, if they if the distributor sold to dealers or if they sold directly to the farmer.

0:19:8.640 --> 0:19:14.70

Griffith, Morgan

So primarily, insecticides and fungicides on corn, if it's a treated seed type.

0:19:15.200 --> 0:19:18.890

Griffith, Morgan

Cover crops or untreated in this case, flower and vegetable, untreated.

0:19:19.590 --> 0:19:20.150

Griffith, Morgan

Umm.

0:19:21.330 --> 0:19:29.170

Griffith, Morgan

And then we also had some treatments of Resovia. If I'm saying that correctly. For legumes and soybeans.

0:19:29.830 --> 0:19:37.0

Griffith, Morgan

And then special tea crops and turf. It was metal axle, which I believe is a fungicide.

0:19:39.330 --> 0:19:45.890

Griffith, Morgan

And then I just have some definitions of those terms. So this is the information that we have at this point with respect to what's treated and untreated.

0:19:47.510 --> 0:19:49.560

Griffith, Morgan

S seen sold in the state of Vermont.

0:19:51.890 --> 0:19:53.780

Griffith, Morgan

That's the update that I have so far.

0:19:56.180 --> 0:20:2.930

Dwinell, Steve

OK. Thank you. And obviously very preliminary information. And Stephanie, when do you anticipate having?

0:20:4.630 --> 0:20:8.780

Dwinell, Steve

I like, I would say complete, but it as complete as you think you can get.

0:20:9.720 --> 0:20:10.210

Griffith, Morgan

So.

0:20:9.500 --> 0:20:11.250

Dwinell, Steve

On those that information.

0:20:11.690 --> 0:20:35.360

Griffith, Morgan

Yeah. So distributors are required to report to us by February 15th. And so I think as complete as we're gonna get, we'll be by February 15th. But we are. But we also anticipate that we'll do outreach post February 15th to post the date that they're required to report to us to follow up and try to get the additional information. So actually, I guess it's we're moving in time.

0:20:36.440 --> 0:20:45.590

Griffith, Morgan

Past February 15th, but we hope to have most of this information by that date. Some folks reported on old forms, so we had to follow up with them.

0:20:48.200 --> 0:20:48.930

Griffith, Morgan

The.

0:21:3.820 --> 0:21:4.150

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah.

0:20:49.680 --> 0:21:7.90

Griffith, Morgan

Yeah. And then again, it's agricultural seed only. So we're not gonna necessarily get vegetables and flour props. A seed sold. So I guess it's an education, Steve. Honestly, we're gonna work forward and educate and try to collect as much information as we possibly can.

0:21:7.700 --> 0:21:7.940

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah.

0:21:8.750 --> 0:21:15.380

Dwinell, Steve

Thank you. One other item of information I can share is we've been in contact with the American.

0:21:16.670 --> 0:21:30.410

Dwinell, Steve

Seed Trade Association and we're going to be talking to them about identifying more information about see treated seed, untreated seed and where that seed is, how that seeds being distributed in Vermont.

0:21:31.140 --> 0:21:34.50

Dwinell, Steve

Uh, we hopefully, hopefully we'll get some more information.

0:21:35.290 --> 0:21:41.260

Dwinell, Steve

Those, because obviously all this information is going to be important in the development of the BMP's.

0:21:41.990 --> 0:22:5.140

Dwinell, Steve

For the neonicotinoid treated seeds that we're going to be working on for the next several months, that's gonna be the, you know, sort of the primary task of the board is to consider a possible well, best management practices to be adopted by a rule for those that kind of seed. So this information is going to be critical and we're going to do the best we can to get as much information as we can.

0:22:6.350 --> 0:22:13.190

Dwinell, Steve

Despite the limitations we have in the types of questions we're, you know the the the Statute sets up.

0:22:14.180 --> 0:22:25.510

Dwinell, Steve

There's that in any of the board members have any questions about any of this about this effort or any of the questions we're asking or are there some things you think we ought to be looking into more deeply or you know?

0:22:26.930 --> 0:22:29.300

Dwinell, Steve

Keep in mind this is what we're going to be working on for a while.

0:22:33.640 --> 0:22:39.670

Griffith, Morgan

Or even like does it jive with with your personal experiences or personal knowledge?

0:22:41.110 --> 0:22:44.60

Griffith, Morgan

Kind of those active ingredients that we got back or.

0:22:54.730 --> 0:23:0.660

Griffith, Morgan

Well, thank you. Thanks and feel free to reach out to me if you have additional insight.

0:23:3.570 --> 0:23:4.150

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah.

0:23:3.230 --> 0:23:5.660

Griffith, Morgan

And I will put my contact information in the chat.

0:23:6.410 --> 0:23:18.950

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah. And we're gonna obviously get more information and we'll be sharing that again in a future meeting. Probably not the well, not the February meeting, but if we have a meeting in March, we should be able to talk about it quite a bit.

0:23:20.350 --> 0:23:27.0

Dwinell, Steve

And and get into some more granular detail on that type of information.

0:23:28.680 --> 0:23:30.370

Dwinell, Steve

OK. Well, thank you, Steph.

0:23:32.430 --> 0:23:45.40

Dwinell, Steve

OK, so the next item is the farmer survey. As we talked about in the previous meeting, one of our API's requirements or charges is to survey farmers.

0:23:46.260 --> 0:23:52.570

Dwinell, Steve

On a number of topics and we talked about a draft survey, which we've kicked around and now we're at the point of.

0:23:53.280 --> 0:23:56.150

Dwinell, Steve

Discussing how we're going to distribute the survey.

0:23:56.960 --> 0:24:1.260

Dwinell, Steve

And so I'll turn that back over to Steph for for that part of the discussion.

0:24:1.900 --> 0:24:20.610

Griffith, Morgan

Yeah. And I'm going to offer Zachary Kowski's here with me today. And he helped me put together the distribution plan to provide a draft distribution plan to provide to the board to consider. So I'm gonna turn it over to Zach, and I'm gonna share my screen with all the information in the details.

0:24:22.730 --> 0:24:23.460

Griffith, Morgan

All right.

0:24:24.700 --> 0:24:32.650

Griffith, Morgan

So during the previous meeting on December 19th, the IB reviewed the survey and approved the survey.

0:24:33.790 --> 0:24:38.820

Griffith, Morgan

And in addition to that, there's a lot of discussion on a distribution plan and strategy.

0:24:40.640 --> 0:24:59.250

Griffith, Morgan

So step one was the discussion on creating an e-mail address thatisagrthat.aib@vermont.gov. This has been in. This has been established and this is gonna continue to be the primary means of disseminating information from the board.

0:25:1.0 --> 0:25:7.670

Griffith, Morgan

Additionally, there was discussion on which entities would be survey be distributed to.

0:25:8.660 --> 0:25:15.440

Griffith, Morgan

UM and from discussions that we had, it was determined that.

0:25:16.260 --> 0:25:26.130

Griffith, Morgan

On the FM side, UM, we're gonna try to utilize the water Quality program database and possibly the produce safety database.

0:25:27.60 --> 0:25:34.590

Griffith, Morgan

And then additionally, UM, a working list was discussed during the previous meeting and.

0:25:35.440 --> 0:25:47.370

Griffith, Morgan

That includes what's provided here on your screen, and that would be the Vermont tree Fruit Growers Association with Terry as he is here.

0:25:48.930 --> 0:25:52.660

Griffith, Morgan

Evermont vegetable and burgers association with.

0:25:53.330 --> 0:25:57.20

Griffith, Morgan

And the primary contact there would be Doctor Vern Rubinger.

0:25:57.780 --> 0:26:3.270

Griffith, Morgan

Uh, the Northeast Organic Farmers Association of Vermont, Lindsey brand.

0:26:4.410 --> 0:26:13.200

Griffith, Morgan

Very much Sugar makers association Allison Hope. There the northern Grain Growers Association.

0:26:14.190 --> 0:26:17.920

Griffith, Morgan

I'm Todd Hardy. Would be the potential contact there.

0:26:19.460 --> 0:26:23.260

Griffith, Morgan

The Vermont Horse Council Michaela pick.

0:26:24.230 --> 0:26:29.320

Griffith, Morgan

Uh, the Vermont sheep and Goat association? Dave Martin.

0:26:31.80 --> 0:26:33.710

Griffith, Morgan

Young Farmers Coalition of Vermont.

0:26:35.70 --> 0:26:36.720

Griffith, Morgan

Uh, no point there.

0:26:37.520 --> 0:27:6.880

Griffith, Morgan

Point of contact the Champlain Valley Farmer Coalition and that would be Vijay, Nazareth, Vermont Farm Agriculture, Health and Safety Alliance, question mark so uncertain and you know this list isn't final. And so we're gonna have to discuss have a discussion on whether, who include out of this list and not to the Vermont Association of Conservation Districts Gila Race.

0:27:7.790 --> 0:27:13.100

Griffith, Morgan

The New Hampshire, Vermont Christmas Tree Growers Association couldn't find any.

0:27:14.580 --> 0:27:22.810

Griffith, Morgan

Contact for that one either be Vermont and lastly the Vermont Grass Farmers Association. That's Megan Sheridan.

0:27:24.990 --> 0:27:30.620

Griffith, Morgan

In addition, there was discussion of a kind of like an introductory e-mail that would.

0:27:31.670 --> 0:27:55.980

Griffith, Morgan

Essentially, members could copy and paste into their e-mail and then send to one of these points of contacts and essentially if it says more or less, everything that we're trying to get out, and once the e-mail was distributed to the point of contact, then it could be further distributed to those organizations members.

0:27:56.750 --> 0:28:6.430

Griffith, Morgan

Umm so I guess you know what we need to do now would be you know, approve whether that list is a.

0:28:7.430 --> 0:28:9.140

Griffith, Morgan
Sufficient list and.

0:28:10.340 --> 0:28:11.150

Griffith, Morgan
Also.

0:28:11.970 --> 0:28:18.40

Griffith, Morgan
Approved e-mail and a date. A deadline for the completion of the service.

0:28:20.760 --> 0:28:27.550

Griffith, Morgan
Japanese. Yeah. Anything else? No. Yeah. So I guess it's just a discussion of whether or not that list was complete. And this is based on.

0:28:29.650 --> 0:28:39.300

Griffith, Morgan
Previous discussions that at the previous meeting so that that particular list, and then again the content of the e-mail. I believe this was probably this was shared in advance last week, midweek last week.

0:28:40.90 --> 0:28:40.600

Griffith, Morgan
Fish.

0:28:41.300 --> 0:28:41.740

Griffith, Morgan
Write it.

0:28:40.240 --> 0:28:43.760

Dwinell, Steve
And so well, when do you sleep? When do you have your head up?

0:28:45.20 --> 0:28:50.570

Wendy Sue Harper (Guest)
Yes, Umm, I think that you might wanna contact the Vermont organic farmers.

0:28:50.990 --> 0:28:57.260

Wendy Sue Harper (Guest)
Uh, and Nicole, Danny, is there kind of executive director because?

0:28:58.680 --> 0:29:8.510

Wendy Sue Harper (Guest)
No. From Vermont deals with gardeners and homesteaders and you know and farmers, whereas VOF is really about organic farmers.

0:29:11.300 --> 0:29:11.790

Griffith, Morgan

Thank you.

0:29:12.670 --> 0:29:13.980

Griffith, Morgan

Thanks for the clarification.

0:29:15.140 --> 0:29:18.370

Griffith, Morgan

When if you do, you do you wanna strike nofa?

0:29:21.910 --> 0:29:22.740

Wendy Sue Harper (Guest)

Ohm.

0:29:23.930 --> 0:29:26.360

Griffith, Morgan

Or just in addition, Adam Cole.

0:29:27.0 --> 0:29:31.160

Wendy Sue Harper (Guest)

I think you should strike Nova, but I'd like to hear what Earl says about it.

0:29:35.750 --> 0:29:37.820

Earl Ransom

I think you could leave, sorry.

0:29:39.10 --> 0:29:49.220

Earl Ransom

I think you can leave them both on there. I mean, no foot definitely has a much broader outreach to, as Wendy Sue said, you know, home senators and home gardeners and stuff.

0:29:49.400 --> 0:29:57.100

Earl Ransom

Umm and VOF is specifically for certified organic farmers. You know, you know commercial scale.

0:29:58.700 --> 0:30:2.40

Earl Ransom

But I don't know if there's any drawback to contacting Nova.

0:30:3.250 --> 0:30:9.640

Earl Ransom

That I can think of other than you might get some responses from people who don't need to be responding.

0:30:11.190 --> 0:30:12.100

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah, Terry.

0:30:13.200 --> 0:30:26.250

Terence Bradshaw

Yeah. I think one group you'll catch with Nofa are the non certified, but still you know organic in spirit farmers, which I think there's in some with some crops that can be quite a few of them.

0:30:29.460 --> 0:30:38.870

Dwinell, Steve

So Steph, to follow up on that, when we get these responses, do we have a way to categorize them in terms of the type of operation or the size or the?

0:30:40.490 --> 0:30:42.0

Dwinell, Steve

Nature of the operation.

0:30:42.970 --> 0:30:57.20

Griffith, Morgan

We don't have a listing on the size of the operation currently in the survey, but we, but if the board so wishes, we could include like a multiple choice question that breaks down size of operation.

0:30:57.900 --> 0:30:58.540

Griffith, Morgan

Umm.

0:30:59.440 --> 0:31:1.0

Griffith, Morgan

And I'm thinking on the fly here.

0:31:4.680 --> 0:31:18.90

Griffith, Morgan

Actually, I'm not thinking on the fly. I'm struggling to think of how we write that down better multiple choice away, so I would encourage folks if they have ideas of how to capture scale of operation to.

0:31:18.720 --> 0:31:30.690

Griffith, Morgan

Throw it out at me and I'll write it down to add a question, but I don't think we have a question like that we do have. We do ask for information regarding the name of the operation and the name of the operator.

0:31:31.610 --> 0:31:32.100

Griffith, Morgan

Umm.

0:31:33.470 --> 0:31:38.520

Griffith, Morgan

That could give us an indication of scale, maybe, but maybe not necessarily.

0:31:40.340 --> 0:31:41.10

Dwinell, Steve

They're Clark.

0:31:39.560 --> 0:31:41.270

Griffith, Morgan

By me. Uh Clara, go ahead.

0:31:44.480 --> 0:31:55.900

Clara Ayer

Umm yeah, I think real quick over regarding scale, I think that's simple. If you if you do like small farm, medium firm, large farm like we're all used to anyway.

0:31:57.60 --> 0:32:0.770

Clara Ayer

That kind of captures that in a ballpark range.

0:32:2.660 --> 0:32:16.530

Clara Ayer

What I was raising my hand about was I don't feel like there's any good representation for conventional dairy here. There's a couple that we'll get to some conventional dairies, but not really every.

0:32:17.330 --> 0:32:19.950

Clara Ayer

And everyone I I think.

0:32:21.350 --> 0:32:26.320

Clara Ayer

Honestly, the easiest and and most sure way to get to them is through.

0:32:27.410 --> 0:32:28.480

Clara Ayer

A male Chandler.

0:32:29.190 --> 0:32:29.850

Clara Ayer

Umm.

0:32:30.690 --> 0:32:47.840

Clara Ayer

And I mean I I'm happy to give you the contact for Agri, Mark. I guess I don't, I wouldn't have it for DFA or I'd have to do some research on that. And certainly there's some other ones, but those would be 2/2, very big ones in the state.

0:32:49.680 --> 0:32:59.580

Clara Ayer

I mean, I think there's some other ideas as far as to get to conventional dairies, but I think through through the milk handlers, probably the easiest and I really don't see anybody else on here.

0:33:2.10 --> 0:33:16.390

Griffith, Morgan

We do intend on using the water quality programs list, hopefully might capture some of those folks and

actually I think you may have mentioned Agri mark in a previous meeting and I may have neglected to put it in this list.

0:33:16.990 --> 0:33:18.130

Griffith, Morgan

Umm, but I'll go.

0:33:19.120 --> 0:33:19.500

Griffith, Morgan

Yeah.

0:33:17.620 --> 0:33:21.950

Clara Ayer

I did, but like, you know, like our area for instance.

0:33:23.530 --> 0:33:31.710

Clara Ayer

We're kind of in an awkward spot where we we aren't really like close to. There's some really good, really active water on shed.

0:33:32.440 --> 0:33:45.210

Clara Ayer

Groups I know, and we just aren't really buy one and I think that that does happen to some dairy firms. So I I think that it's good to to do that. Yeah. And somebody put up front dairy producers alliance.

0:33:46.310 --> 0:33:53.340

Clara Ayer

They'd be helpful too, but yeah, certainly just expanding on that group for conventional dairies I think is important.

0:33:55.370 --> 0:33:56.430

Griffith, Morgan

Excellent. Thank you.

0:34:7.220 --> 0:34:10.430

Dwinell, Steve

And any other comments on the distribution scheme?

0:34:11.640 --> 0:34:12.210

Dwinell, Steve

Plan.

0:34:16.850 --> 0:34:23.620

DiPietro, Laura

So I just I agree with you, except I think a lot of the stuff from our regulated firm list will get a lot of the major dairies.

0:34:24.280 --> 0:34:33.430

DiPietro, Laura

Umm but I added in the chat some of the farmer at least water organizations that are put together and they definitely have their own listeners and and networks.

0:34:36.130 --> 0:34:36.840

Griffith, Morgan

Thank you, Laura.

0:34:37.920 --> 0:34:41.690

Griffith, Morgan

Umm, so the only thing that's outstanding excuse me is.

0:34:42.970 --> 0:34:49.400

Griffith, Morgan

A date for closing the survey. Is there a I typically like to do?

0:34:50.720 --> 0:34:57.510

Griffith, Morgan

A survey open for two weeks with three reminders within that, or two reminders within that two week period.

0:34:58.210 --> 0:34:58.870

Griffith, Morgan

Umm.

0:35:0.10 --> 0:35:0.710

Griffith, Morgan

So.

0:35:1.920 --> 0:35:14.210

Griffith, Morgan

That's what I like to do, but I'm wondering if anybody has any other suggestions. It should probably be longer because we're gonna request that people forward it to their networks and so there's that kind of a lag.

0:35:15.850 --> 0:35:16.890

Griffith, Morgan

Potential lag.

0:35:17.650 --> 0:35:20.200

Griffith, Morgan

Umm, so we can leave it open a month.

0:35:21.680 --> 0:35:33.710

Griffith, Morgan

And then send out reminders, but we would be reminding that that these this list of organizations including Agri, Mark, DFA, Vermont, Dairy Producer Alliance and VOF.

0:35:34.950 --> 0:35:47.320

Griffith, Morgan

In addition to this list, we would be sending the reminders to them and asking them to forward it to their networks. So that's something to be cognizant of as well. So we can leave it open up.

0:35:50.690 --> 0:35:51.410

Griffith, Morgan

Any thoughts?

0:35:57.330 --> 0:35:57.670

Griffith, Morgan

Umm.

0:35:54.100 --> 0:35:58.250

DiPietro, Laura

Do you have any deadline you're up against on the other end is a month pushing anything?

0:35:58.890 --> 0:36:10.300

Griffith, Morgan

No. So this information will be assembled and provided to the AIB for consideration for activities that they wanna do within the scope of their work.

0:36:10.860 --> 0:36:14.760

Griffith, Morgan

But so no, there are no, there are no deadlines.

0:36:15.540 --> 0:36:20.50

Griffith, Morgan

And then we will include the results of the survey in next year's annual report.

0:36:20.970 --> 0:36:21.780

Griffith, Morgan

The legislature.

0:36:25.920 --> 0:36:26.310

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah.

0:36:27.50 --> 0:36:28.780

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah. So we, we.

0:36:30.710 --> 0:36:41.910

Dwinell, Steve

Well, you know, make the effort to collect the information. I will compile the information. I will bring it back to the board, but the board look at it. If we need to do something different and we always have the opportunity to fine tune it.

0:36:43.560 --> 0:36:46.410

Dwinell, Steve

You know, and see if we can add anything to it, but.

0:36:47.930 --> 0:36:52.820

Dwinell, Steve

It's, you know, way there's kind of an experiment to see what we get using this approach so.

0:36:54.180 --> 0:36:58.290

Dwinell, Steve

But we certainly appreciate all the input from the board members and.

0:37:0.400 --> 0:37:14.40

Dwinell, Steve

I guess at this point I would ask if the board members would agree to let us proceed, since this is an actual responsibility of the board to do this feeling the same.

0:37:16.790 --> 0:37:17.980

Dwinell, Steve

Sorry, you'll background noise here.

0:37:19.140 --> 0:37:19.870

Dwinell, Steve

So.

0:37:21.430 --> 0:37:25.260

Dwinell, Steve

Early does does the board OK with us proceeding with this?

0:37:29.120 --> 0:37:30.810

Dwinell, Steve

All in favor, say aye, I guess.

0:37:31.650 --> 0:37:32.310

Jonathan Chamberlin

Aye.

0:37:32.870 --> 0:37:33.180

rrebozo

Night.

0:37:32.740 --> 0:37:33.190

Clara Ayer

I.

0:37:32.200 --> 0:37:33.320

DiPietro, Laura

I I.

0:37:33.940 --> 0:37:34.270

Earl Ransom

Alright.

0:37:34.30 --> 0:37:34.480

Terence Bradshaw

I.

0:37:35.200 --> 0:37:35.620

Wendy Sue Harper (Guest)

Hi.

0:37:33.780 --> 0:37:36.130

Dwinell, Steve

OK, any any opposed?

0:37:37.740 --> 0:37:39.950

Dwinell, Steve

OK. Well, let's go ahead so.

0:37:40.990 --> 0:37:43.320

Dwinell, Steve

Let's start start the experiment.

0:37:43.690 --> 0:37:52.170

Griffith, Morgan

We'll start the experiment and this is part of one of the duties of the AIB is to survey all farmers in each county or no to.

0:37:53.100 --> 0:37:53.300

Dwinell, Steve

Sure.

0:37:53.360 --> 0:37:53.740

Griffith, Morgan

Not.

0:37:55.20 --> 0:37:57.340

Griffith, Morgan

Yeah. Yes. Surveyor farmer from each county.

0:37:53.400 --> 0:37:57.390

Dwinell, Steve

A farmer from each county is what it says, which is kind of interesting.

0:37:58.310 --> 0:38:0.520

Dwinell, Steve

So just do 16 farmers, I guess.

0:38:1.640 --> 0:38:2.390

Dwinell, Steve

That wouldn't.

0:38:1.220 --> 0:38:6.90

Griffith, Morgan

We thought this was low hanging fruit for an activity of the board, so we're gonna do it.

0:38:6.720 --> 0:38:7.50

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah.

0:38:7.750 --> 0:38:10.300

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah. So it'll be really interesting to see what we get back.

0:38:11.720 --> 0:38:12.610

Dwinell, Steve

OK. Well, thank you.

0:38:13.420 --> 0:38:14.310

Dwinell, Steve

Thank you for everybody.

0:38:15.350 --> 0:38:17.850

Dwinell, Steve

OK, so we're.

0:38:22.10 --> 0:38:22.440

Griffith, Morgan

Losing.

0:38:20.70 --> 0:38:22.500

Dwinell, Steve

Pretty ahead of schedule, which is good.

0:38:23.700 --> 0:38:27.490

Dwinell, Steve

Umm, so the next item is.

0:38:28.260 --> 0:38:37.170

Dwinell, Steve

The non neonicotinoid treated seed discussion and as you will recall, I think we identified this as one of the.

0:38:37.870 --> 0:38:44.80

Dwinell, Steve

Tasks set by the legislature for the AIB is to develop.

0:38:45.510 --> 0:39:6.150

Dwinell, Steve

Recommendations or consider developing recommendations for best management practices for seeds

treated seeds that are not treated with neonicotinoid. So it's the non neonicotinoid treated seeds that we're talking about next and the the the legislative charge was to develop.

0:39:7.70 --> 0:39:12.120

Dwinell, Steve

Or to recommend BMP's for this category of treated seeds?

0:39:13.110 --> 0:39:32.690

Dwinell, Steve

By February 15th of this year, and so we've, you know, kicked that around internally and got some suggestions and what what I'd like to do, the way we can do this is Morgan's gonna present some information about some possible BMP's, and then we can have a discussion about it.

0:39:37.120 --> 0:39:37.470

Griffith, Morgan

Yeah.

0:39:33.900 --> 0:39:39.180

Dwinell, Steve

So with that, uh Morgan, do you wanna? First of all, I should answer. Anybody have any questions?

0:39:40.40 --> 0:39:40.530

Dwinell, Steve

Umm.

0:39:42.590 --> 0:39:45.190

Dwinell, Steve

And the board members have any questions about what we're doing.

0:39:48.780 --> 0:39:49.150

Dwinell, Steve

OK.

0:39:48.430 --> 0:40:2.20

Griffith, Morgan

So yeah, definitely showing now. So can you repeat 66? So this is act 1:45 that calls out the the charge on us of what we need to do so it.

0:40:2.920 --> 0:40:4.990

Griffith, Morgan

I think Gary, at the top there, so this is.

0:40:5.710 --> 0:40:8.340

Griffith, Morgan

Just so we're all seeing what?

0:40:9.720 --> 0:40:17.770

Griffith, Morgan

Reassignment I guess, right? So this is due February 15th, so a little less than a month from now, so.

0:40:19.450 --> 0:40:20.950

Griffith, Morgan

Shall submit.

0:40:22.480 --> 0:40:37.560

Griffith, Morgan

A written report regarding whether best management practices should be adopted for the use of treated scenes that are not neonet treated seeds, so the report shall include one a summary of AIDS review treated article seeds that are not Munich.

0:40:38.540 --> 0:40:41.530

Griffith, Morgan

Federal seeds, including identification of.

0:40:42.320 --> 0:40:46.110

Griffith, Morgan

Seeds that may have adverse effects on human health and the environment.

0:40:46.840 --> 0:40:56.570

Griffith, Morgan

Two recommendation of whether BMPS for nonionic treated seeds should be adopted and whether they should be adopted by rule and three.

0:40:57.400 --> 0:41:1.410

Griffith, Morgan

Which is obviously contingent on 2 proposed BMP's for.

0:41:2.370 --> 0:41:3.930

Griffith, Morgan

Treat articles that are not Munich.

0:41:4.900 --> 0:41:5.590

Griffith, Morgan

So.

0:41:5.670 --> 0:41:13.860

Griffith, Morgan

Umm, we have to write this report in matter. What? That's the assignment. 1/2 and three there so.

0:41:15.800 --> 0:41:30.80

Griffith, Morgan

I I think we have all of this time to kind of just bat around what what we want in the report. I'm happy to then draft it up based on what we're we say and decide here today and then I'll send it back out for us.

0:41:32.50 --> 0:41:39.850

Griffith, Morgan

To kick around before we can submit it to the legislature, we won't meet again before the 15th. Likely will meet at after that, so it might have to be.

0:41:42.540 --> 0:41:48.690

Griffith, Morgan

A little bit of an e-mail exchange review of the final report that goes out so.

0:41:50.930 --> 0:42:9.640

Griffith, Morgan

Basically, how how we are reading that is you know the first is what we're learning about food seeds. Stephanie gave us a little bit of a teaser of what we're finding out. So kind of like a summary of what data we've received so far from our registered C distributors.

0:42:10.360 --> 0:42:15.120

Griffith, Morgan

Umm, there's gonna be because this report is due on February 15th, 2:00 we're gonna.

0:42:16.130 --> 0:42:26.80

Griffith, Morgan

Be before all those reports are due to the agency, so we will have to throw in a caveat that we don't have everything that basically it's just all the available data that we have.

0:42:26.970 --> 0:42:34.910

Griffith, Morgan

Umm. And then kind of the two options that are up for the I need to decide is.

0:42:36.750 --> 0:42:44.980

Griffith, Morgan

Option one, we recommend we have BMP's that are generic and not specific to Munich treated seeds.

0:42:45.460 --> 0:42:50.810

Griffith, Morgan

Umm. And that they are not adopted by rule because we might update them.

0:42:51.480 --> 0:42:52.440

Griffith, Morgan

For neonics.

0:42:53.230 --> 0:42:59.230

Griffith, Morgan

Umm so for that one option and that's that document that I sent out for kind of like a generic.

0:43:0.100 --> 0:43:6.220

Griffith, Morgan

BNP and then the other option could be the AIB recommends that.

0:43:7.190 --> 0:43:22.960

Griffith, Morgan

That's better. Practices are not adopted for nonionic seeds because we should just wait and do one BMP, whether it be adopted by rule or not. That's again a decision we'll have to make for nunit treated seeds.

0:43:24.700 --> 0:43:32.90

Griffith, Morgan

Umm. So if you want to pull up the other document, that's what we sent out last week.

0:43:32.780 --> 0:43:36.300

Griffith, Morgan

Just for the purpose of this discussion. And so we're just.

0:43:37.600 --> 0:43:40.410

Griffith, Morgan

Would like to hear everyone's thoughts.

0:43:42.680 --> 0:43:47.730

Griffith, Morgan

This is how this draft this could be just something that's out there. It's kind of pulled from.

0:43:49.200 --> 0:44:2.360

Griffith, Morgan

Other states best management practices for seeds, to be honest, most of them are neonics specific. So it's from Rhode Island, Connecticut, Minnesota and then there's some.

0:44:3.960 --> 0:44:5.470

Griffith, Morgan

From the American seed.

0:44:6.370 --> 0:44:9.980

Griffith, Morgan

Trade association and so, and they're listed at the end.

0:44:11.170 --> 0:44:14.530

Griffith, Morgan

Kind of where a lot of this information is pulled from, but go ahead and Clara.

0:44:16.500 --> 0:44:39.990

Clara Ayer

I just wondered, before we get started into this. So I guess I'm a little bit confused I it was my understanding and and this is where I want you guys to to tell me if I understood wrong or but the treated seeds that are not neonicotinoid are not very common because the seed is hard to get.

0:44:40.630 --> 0:44:41.190

Clara Ayer

Umm.

0:44:42.390 --> 0:44:44.590

Clara Ayer

To get is is that incorrect or?

0:44:45.460 --> 0:44:46.330

Clara Ayer

I guess I was.

0:44:47.260 --> 0:44:50.70

Clara Ayer

That's what I was kind of thinking from past.

0:44:50.160 --> 0:44:52.580

Clara Ayer

And meetings.

0:44:54.110 --> 0:44:55.850

Griffith, Morgan

I mean, based on the.

0:44:56.740 --> 0:45:11.70

Griffith, Morgan

The information that I have that we've collected so far, it depends on the what you're buying. So for soybean, which I think was for forage, it's not treated within the ionic product.

0:45:11.600 --> 0:45:36.470

Griffith, Morgan

Umm. And then for corn it is and all in in, in, in the instances where it is treated as treated both without insecticide, which is a neotenic and insecticide as well as a fungicide. But not all ***** sold in Vermont is treated. So there's a small segment of corn that's untreated, and so that's what we know so far.

0:45:37.570 --> 0:45:42.520

Griffith, Morgan

Based on the information that that I collect that that the agency has collected at this point.

0:45:43.210 --> 0:45:55.270

Clara Ayer

OK. No, that's helpful. I guess it's hard for me to know how to make a recommendation without getting the survey results. First is kind of where my my gut is feeling.

0:45:56.550 --> 0:45:59.800

Clara Ayer

I think simplicity sometimes is is good so.

0:46:1.550 --> 0:46:8.940

Clara Ayer

I I guess I would lean towards seeing if we could have a set of BMP's for all treated seeds.

0:46:10.140 --> 0:46:15.700

Clara Ayer

But I I guess without having those survey results I I don't know if that's really the right way to go or not.

0:46:16.670 --> 0:46:32.640

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah, and. And So what we're gonna do is click on everybody else. Let's first talk about this general idea about what we know about the non neonicotinoid seeds, and then we'll have a couple of decision options for the board to consider and we can discuss those as decision options.

0:46:33.410 --> 0:46:35.990

Dwinell, Steve

So I carry had his hand up next.

0:46:36.570 --> 0:47:7.150

Terence Bradshaw

Yeah, it's two pieces. One, I think part of this, the answer to to the earlier question is we still don't know because there's a lot of missing data in that you know in the seed reports that are coming in. But there's a lot of seed that is, it's just treated. And I think the question here is how the question about the the neonics. You know, we can look at the intent of that. The question of around that is going to come around to should we or should we not limit whether or not.

0:47:7.360 --> 0:47:13.900

Terence Bradshaw

There are instances where we do or don't allow or encourage or discourage the use of neonics that's separate from.

0:47:14.590 --> 0:47:46.320

Terence Bradshaw

How do we wanna handle seed that is treated? I mean, I remember putting seed in the planter when I was a kid 7-8 years old, way before they were neonics and all the corn seed was still treated and we still have had this around and it actually frankly kind of surprises me that we don't have any rules existing for just how to properly handle seed that exists, that has treatments on it that can enter the grain channels, can be eaten by, you know, cows and critters and and other things. So it seems like that's the two pieces here like.

0:47:46.440 --> 0:47:57.430

Terence Bradshaw

Whether or not we wanna restrict or otherwise change the the use of neonics is one thing, but also the fact that there is treated seed seems like this is the time to set about some basic rules for how that would be used.

0:48:0.250 --> 0:48:1.180

Dwinell, Steve

OK, Ryan.

0:48:3.440 --> 0:48:30.430

rrebozo

Yeah, I guess my question is more on the document and it just really basically one at that. You know, understanding that it's intended to be pretty general the way it's written now. I guess I'm wondering, you know how specific some of these recommendations should be, what's what's appropriate here? I

mean there there's some things outlined that leave a lot to interpretation and I don't know if this is the document to address it or if it should point to maybe more specific.

0:48:31.930 --> 0:48:44.890

rrebozo

Uh, methods. You know, things that come to mind are, you know it. There's language in here about doing surveys, but that could mean different things to different people and and same is true for buffers and sensitive habitat. So this just general as to how specific this should really be.

0:48:48.490 --> 0:48:55.920

Dwinell, Steve

OK, thank you. I raised my hand next just a couple of items of information. I guess. One is there are?

0:48:57.10 --> 0:48:58.120

Dwinell, Steve

Existing.

0:48:59.380 --> 0:49:29.540

Dwinell, Steve

Playing there is existing language in then Stephanie correct it wrong to get the title is wrong, but there's a federal seed regulation that requires treated seed to bear statements on the label that says do not use this seed for feed or oil production. And then in addition to that, there are there's language being developed by the US EPA that will be going on treated seed labels.

0:49:30.90 --> 0:49:40.950

Dwinell, Steve

Associated with the person that's used to treat them. That will have a series of of recommendation or you know, practices that have to be followed when you're using that product to address some of these concerns. So.

0:49:42.770 --> 0:49:57.60

Dwinell, Steve

So I guess I what I'm trying to say is there are some general guidelines now that are out there about how they're supposed to be used. The question on the table for the board is whether we want to recommend the adoption of specific BMP.

0:49:57.960 --> 0:50:9.670

Dwinell, Steve

That apply to treated seeds and it says non neonicotinoid treated seeds so I'm not sure how I would do that but but to treat it seeds as best management practices. So with that Jonathan.

0:50:11.600 --> 0:50:41.50

Jonathan Chamberlin

So I look at this as two distinct pieces. There's seed treatments in general of everything that gets put on a seed, and then there's the pesticide portion and the pesticide portion. I think if you read the label pretty much spells out all these BMP and to me they're not BMP, they're they're legal. You were legally required if you choose to use this product. And so I I question whether we have BMP's for something that's already regulated.

0:50:41.450 --> 0:51:11.540

Jonathan Chamberlin

That heavily, but if if the group in my mind, you know the piece that we talk about is things like biologicals, other lubricants and other things that get treated on set because those are the things that are somewhat left unregulated to the extent of a pesticide is. And so, you know, I, I guess my question is, would this be BMP? Do we go to the extent to get as as specific as some of these rules say? But yet if a label then changes due to EPA review?

0:51:11.670 --> 0:51:35.680

Jonathan Chamberlin

And contradicts the things we put in this BMP. I guess I just questioned how far down the road we go with some pretty generic statements that kind of get really kind in my mind, specific to things that we sort of as you end users have to follow what whether we just encourage that the label be followed. That's one thing, it's it's some of the other details.

0:51:40.590 --> 0:51:41.310

Dwinell, Steve

OK. Thank you.

0:51:42.170 --> 0:51:42.700

Dwinell, Steve

Uh.

0:51:44.720 --> 0:51:45.180

Dwinell, Steve

Morgan.

0:51:46.470 --> 0:51:48.80

Dwinell, Steve

So is there?

0:51:49.710 --> 0:51:58.280

Dwinell, Steve

Before we launch into the options for the board any anything else that you wanna point out in the document you sent for the board to consider?

0:52:0.160 --> 0:52:9.110

Griffith, Morgan

Umm. Well, I will say just that first one. What, Steve, what you just said that you painted your species Act work plan update. So that link there is just useful.

0:52:10.420 --> 0:52:14.70

Griffith, Morgan

For reference for what you were talking about as far as the recommendations.

0:52:15.520 --> 0:52:20.110

Griffith, Morgan

That they're thinking about for labels for more required language and labels.

0:52:20.670 --> 0:52:21.400

Griffith, Morgan

Umm.

0:52:23.860 --> 0:52:34.720

Griffith, Morgan

Ah, with this specific document, I agree with kind of everyone like Ryan and comment that there are some pretty generic.

0:52:35.730 --> 0:52:50.40

Griffith, Morgan

Terms and just within our group, we were reviewing and it doesn't you know how far away is adjacent and things like that. And so those aren't defined in the MP's that are out there for other states.

0:52:50.620 --> 0:52:51.310

Griffith, Morgan

Umm.

0:52:52.950 --> 0:52:59.520

Griffith, Morgan

And so it's it. That is something that is very generic in these in next draft document.

0:52:59.980 --> 0:53:4.210

Griffith, Morgan

Umm, the top of the page we were trying to call out.

0:53:5.290 --> 0:53:13.920

Griffith, Morgan

Also, the question of what Steve said, whether we call it for non Munich treated seeds, but then we were saying well maybe we just call it.

0:53:15.30 --> 0:53:23.500

Griffith, Morgan

Treated seed and then with the you know subtitles there that they'll be updated with neuronically treated seeds.

0:53:25.750 --> 0:53:35.560

Griffith, Morgan

In accordance with the timeline that says by March 2024, that's when those bumps or recommendation, if we choose to do so.

0:53:36.310 --> 0:53:38.720

Griffith, Morgan

It's called out so, but that's it as far as this.

0:53:40.430 --> 0:53:43.890

Griffith, Morgan

Document is. Yeah, I hear you with all of your comments.

0:53:47.970 --> 0:53:53.300

Dwinell, Steve

OK. Any other questions or discussion? Because what I'd like to do is present two options for the board. Terry, go ahead.

0:53:53.940 --> 0:54:24.50

Terence Bradshaw

Yeah, just a quick comment. I think it makes the most sense to to just call these treated CBMP's the question about the neonics is separate because if if you take a treated seed that has a neonics on it, it's probably going to, we're probably going to want that under the same management practices and maybe you'll have something extra or different or whatever. But it still would fall under this. The Venn diagram is still fully in this in this area. So I think it makes the most sense. That's my my proposal anyway.

0:54:25.990 --> 0:54:26.490

Dwinell, Steve

Umm.

0:54:28.260 --> 0:54:31.820

Dwinell, Steve

So. So miss, we have two options. One is to.

0:54:34.480 --> 0:54:44.270

Dwinell, Steve

Recommend a set of generic BMP's for adoption. Well, we have, I guess, three options we could recommend to the legislature.

0:54:45.0 --> 0:54:52.220

Dwinell, Steve

A set of generic BMP's for treated seeds were all treated seeds. You know it covers all treated seeds, whatever they're treated with.

0:54:53.90 --> 0:55:0.400

Dwinell, Steve

Uh, they could be just recommended as a BMP's. They can recommend it as to be adopted by rule.

0:55:1.470 --> 0:55:6.120

Dwinell, Steve

Or we could recommend we could make a recommendation to not.

0:55:7.320 --> 0:55:12.890

Dwinell, Steve

Take action on treated seeds at this time, because we're going to be developing.

0:55:14.200 --> 0:55:18.320

Dwinell, Steve

Recommendations for being's for neonicotinoid treated seeds.

0:55:19.480 --> 0:55:44.370

Dwinell, Steve

By March of next year. And that's gonna be the primary focus of the AIB for the next few months is to consider those and develop those. So those are the three options that that I can see that the board has. Are there any other options that the board can see at this point keeping in mind that we have to do this by then by February 15th.

0:55:55.890 --> 0:55:59.180

Dwinell, Steve

Or do you think those are the? Those are the three options we have.

0:56:1.910 --> 0:56:3.300

Dwinell, Steve

Lot of folk, lot of folks think.

0:56:5.370 --> 0:56:7.260

Griffith, Morgan

Silence is acquiescence.

0:56:8.780 --> 0:56:9.880

Dwinell, Steve

Or they're thinking.

0:56:10.360 --> 0:56:10.690

Clara Ayer

All right.

0:56:8.640 --> 0:56:20.200

DiPietro, Laura

But I'll just comment. I feel like what Jonathan Chamberlain said made a lot of sense in that if some of this is already regulated, you know, like that you would wanna separate that out and you wouldn't wanna somehow overstep or cross step.

0:56:20.830 --> 0:56:41.820

DiPietro, Laura

With a regulatory like an individual label or something like that. So you do have a pretty generic, but there may be some cross stepping if you were to compare things across the board and to Claire's point, you don't have the results back. So you know the scope and scale and then to Terra Terra's point of you're gonna be doing this anyway. For the other group. So it feels like there was three instances to sort of suggest.

0:56:42.560 --> 0:56:51.600

DiPietro, Laura

Putting it all together and in one final BMP get the information and get everyone educated to get a better BMP out BMP suggestions out.

0:56:54.770 --> 0:57:18.440

Dwinell, Steve

I'm sorry, I should have brought up one more item there. Information. What we did, one of the things that the board is supposed to consider is whether there were any incidents or, you know, impacts from treated seed, the non neonicotinoid treated seeds and we don't have any reports of any complaints or incidents associated with non neonicotinoid treated seats.

0:57:19.760 --> 0:57:21.940

Dwinell, Steve
So ohh arise.

0:57:23.650 --> 0:57:27.460

Cutler, Clarice
Hi, this is Clarice. Yeah. To that point, Steve.

0:57:29.210 --> 0:57:48.300

Cutler, Clarice
Terry did a great job of doing this lit review for us, for neonics, and I don't feel like we did that for nonionic treatment. So I feel like, sure, maybe there are no complaints, but we also to, to my knowledge, maybe I missed it, we haven't done that lit review. So that might be yet another point toward.

0:57:49.180 --> 0:57:52.230

Cutler, Clarice
Umm, waiting to move forward until we've done that.

0:57:58.930 --> 0:58:0.740

Dwinell, Steve
Any other board members want to.

0:58:1.400 --> 0:58:2.130

Dwinell, Steve
Weigh in.

0:58:7.900 --> 0:58:12.540

Clara Ayer
Dave, are you looking for a motion or or you just want us all those kind of way in?

0:58:13.300 --> 0:58:21.700

Dwinell, Steve
I don't think This is why we're discussing and so we need to hear what people are thinking in terms of the three options or if there are other options to be considered.

0:58:22.430 --> 0:58:28.20

Dwinell, Steve
You know the the inform the board on its decision that we're gonna have to make here shortly.

0:58:29.110 --> 0:58:38.450

Clara Ayer
I think that these are really, really important and I think given that I'd prefer to wait and get some more information and spend some time writing them on.

0:58:39.260 --> 0:58:39.990

Clara Ayer

So.

0:58:41.720 --> 0:58:43.240

Clara Ayer

Yeah, that would be my recommendation.

0:58:48.770 --> 0:58:51.100

DiPietro, Laura

There were lots of head nods there. I don't know if you saw that.

0:58:53.220 --> 0:58:53.950

Dwinell, Steve

Hey, Jonathan.

0:58:54.890 --> 0:59:2.0

Jonathan Chamberlin

Yeah, I I'm gonna agree with that statement that I I as I said before, I think there's these BMP should mean something and they show.

0:59:2.630 --> 0:59:25.380

Jonathan Chamberlin

Be a little more thought process and I think going through the Neo Nic will help us better understand some of this stuff in the true implications and side effects of of some of these BMP's and what they mean. So I I guess I would just say that you know the no recommendation for something set for these separate classes of sea treatments.

0:59:29.10 --> 0:59:29.450

Dwinell, Steve

Very.

0:59:30.690 --> 0:59:31.80

Terence Bradshaw

Uh.

0:59:31.260 --> 0:59:43.740

Terence Bradshaw

Something that would be useful is to and I agree with with Jonathan. Now the you know, you ought to be careful scaffolding multiple state, local, regional, national rules.

0:59:45.280 --> 0:59:56.480

Terence Bradshaw

It really would be helpful for us to understand what the actual rules are. Now I know from from my days long ago of having the seat it would tell you, you know, don't eat these and various things, but.

0:59:56.680 --> 1:0:4.880

Terence Bradshaw

Umm, we should know what? What we should know what exists. So we know what to write to. And I wonder how much of that is.

1:0:7.20 --> 1:0:17.520

Terence Bradshaw

From regulation that applies on the users end versus regulations that maybe applies on the seed treaters and and then then gets carried through. So if we have to know what's out there.

1:0:19.730 --> 1:0:21.680

Dwinell, Steve

That we can build that in somehow.

1:0:22.820 --> 1:0:24.30

Dwinell, Steve

Show another commentary.

1:0:26.360 --> 1:0:26.750

Terence Bradshaw

No.

1:0:32.380 --> 1:0:35.640

Dwinell, Steve

When you say I've seen you nod your head a bunch. Do you have any comments?

1:0:40.510 --> 1:0:48.760

Wendy Sue Harper (Guest)

I don't have any additional comments. I agree with Terry and I do think we should wait until we have the information that we've been talking about.

1:0:54.30 --> 1:0:54.500

Dwinell, Steve

OK.

1:0:55.930 --> 1:0:56.920

Dwinell, Steve

Anybody else wanna?

1:0:58.390 --> 1:0:59.180

Dwinell, Steve

Weigh in.

1:1:11.350 --> 1:1:18.430

Dwinell, Steve

OK. Well, what I'd like to recommend at this point that we take Morgan's draft report.

1:1:21.810 --> 1:1:38.740

Dwinell, Steve

Rewrite the part about the BMP and just say at this point the AIB is not prepared to recommend being

teased because there's information still to be developed and you're more information is needed for the board to make a good recommendation and that we anticipate.

1:1:39.460 --> 1:2:0.10

Dwinell, Steve

You know, making recommendations for the neonicotinoid seed treatments as yeah, as part of it. And we can certainly combine them all. You know, you could have it into one report or one set of recommendations there be amps that apply to neonicotinoid and non neonicotinoid into one, one set of recommendations, Laura.

1:2:0.910 --> 1:2:7.180

DiPietro, Laura

And she just add in there as well. Some of the requirements that are already in place, so that that's understood that it's not just totally out there.

1:2:9.310 --> 1:2:10.920

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah, Morgan, is that doable?

1:2:11.960 --> 1:2:12.730

Griffith, Morgan

Yeah. So.

1:2:14.820 --> 1:2:16.550

Griffith, Morgan

Right, like the report.

1:2:17.520 --> 1:2:22.810

Griffith, Morgan

Yeah. I mean, it's gonna look like nothing that's being shared right now. So it's gonna be like a.

1:2:24.10 --> 1:2:30.120

Griffith, Morgan

You know a legislative report, but so adding requirements that are already in place meaning.

1:2:32.670 --> 1:2:32.950

Dwinell, Steve

Like.

1:2:33.650 --> 1:2:34.240

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah, what?

1:2:30.840 --> 1:2:40.210

Griffith, Morgan

Labels. What's required, what? What safety and practices that are required on both seated tree labels and then they associated pesticide labels.

1:2:41.380 --> 1:2:41.570

Dwinell, Steve

Right.

1:2:41.480 --> 1:2:44.190

Griffith, Morgan

Correct. I'm just making sure I'm understanding that right. Yeah.

1:2:44.350 --> 1:2:45.570

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah, Earl.

1:2:45.300 --> 1:2:45.610

DiPietro, Laura

Yes.

1:2:49.410 --> 1:3:1.960

Earl Ransom

I think an important thing that to consider is that we don't seem to have complete information even on sites that are being sold and what they're treated with. So that makes it really hard to determine what we're trying to.

1:3:3.440 --> 1:3:6.620

Earl Ransom

Proposed for regulation on something, if we don't even know.

1:3:9.710 --> 1:3:10.0

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah.

1:3:7.440 --> 1:3:25.530

Earl Ransom

Like we don't have enough information. That's that's the big problem that I see is that if we don't know how much of what's being sold and what's being treated with exactly, then we might be proposing to BMP for something that is either nonexistent or, you know, is only a tiny percentage of the problem of the of the issue.

1:3:26.630 --> 1:3:38.420

Dwinell, Steve

Right. Yeah. And we can certainly call that out. I think we wanna call that out in the report too that we're still developing information and we don't wanna make recommendations based on incomplete information.

1:3:39.610 --> 1:3:40.60

Dwinell, Steve

So.

1:3:40.80 --> 1:3:40.920

Earl Ransom

Yeah, exactly.

1:3:40.490 --> 1:3:42.520

Dwinell, Steve

Uh, yeah, Jonathan.

1:3:43.260 --> 1:4:3.530

Jonathan Chamberlin

So I guess maybe one way to word the report would be we don't currently have recommendations above and beyond current federal label requirements. I you know, just as maybe a way to say that you know that's already labeled, it's already registered. I mean we don't because I don't think we've gone into the biologicals and the other stuff that some of this report had called out. But to me that might work.

1:4:5.210 --> 1:4:21.980

Dwinell, Steve

OK. And and you know, of course we'll everyone will get a chance to review everything before we finalize it. So we'll be sending out a a draft for everyone to look at. There might be a short turn around unfortunately, but we're always available.

1:4:23.10 --> 1:4:29.100

Dwinell, Steve

To you know for questions, if you have a question about what we've written, what we're trying to say or whatever, we can go back and forth.

1:4:29.870 --> 1:4:30.400

Dwinell, Steve

On that.

1:4:31.980 --> 1:4:41.110

Dwinell, Steve

So we'll we'll we'll draft it and send it out for comment and try to hit up, make sure we hit all those points that you brought up.

1:4:41.430 --> 1:4:46.540

Dwinell, Steve

No mortgage, very good about keeping notes about that kind of stuff, so I'm sure we'll all get addressed.

1:4:47.420 --> 1:4:47.930

Dwinell, Steve

Umm.

1:4:50.240 --> 1:5:14.120

Dwinell, Steve

OK, if that's a if that's an acceptable approach to the board. Again, if I could get you know folks to say aye to that approach and we'll we'll draft the report and send it out and not make recommendations for

BMP's for that for trading seat at this time with the knowledge that we're going to be working on this over the next several months, getting information and developing some recommendations.

1:5:16.220 --> 1:5:16.650

Jonathan Chamberlin

I agree.

1:5:15.860 --> 1:5:17.750

Dwinell, Steve

That except for everybody.

1:5:17.400 --> 1:5:17.870

DiPietro, Laura

Hi.

1:5:18.370 --> 1:5:18.950

Wendy Sue Harper (Guest)

Hi.

1:5:18.660 --> 1:5:19.210

Terence Bradshaw

And I.

1:5:18.710 --> 1:5:19.760

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah, I.

1:5:18.470 --> 1:5:19.980

Vose, Sarah

Aye, I agree, no.

1:5:20.430 --> 1:5:20.720

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah.

1:5:20.890 --> 1:5:21.340

Earl Ransom

All right.

1:5:21.890 --> 1:5:23.50

Dwinell, Steve

Thank. Thank you very much.

1:5:23.920 --> 1:5:24.430

Dwinell, Steve

Umm.

1:5:25.330 --> 1:5:30.540

Dwinell, Steve

I think we'll. Yeah, I think this is the right approach. I mean I I think we'll we'll end up with a good product.

1:5:31.530 --> 1:5:32.720

Dwinell, Steve

Uh doing it this way.

1:5:35.900 --> 1:5:36.550

Dwinell, Steve

So.

1:5:37.800 --> 1:5:39.300

Dwinell, Steve

Boy, we are really ahead of schedule.

1:5:41.120 --> 1:5:41.840

Dwinell, Steve

Which is good.

1:5:43.0 --> 1:5:43.480

Dwinell, Steve

Umm.

1:5:44.360 --> 1:5:52.790

Dwinell, Steve

So before we go into public comments, I would like to bring up and and Morgan, one thing we need to do, we always need to put on the agenda next meeting.

1:5:54.400 --> 1:5:54.740

Dwinell, Steve

It's.

1:5:53.780 --> 1:5:57.820

Griffith, Morgan

That's what I was just going to say is that we can talk scheduling. So go ahead, Steve, if you want me to.

1:6:0.320 --> 1:6:0.540

Griffith, Morgan

Yeah.

1:5:58.310 --> 1:6:11.280

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah, but we just make sure that's on the agenda going forward. So anyway, I I you know, given the fact we have this report due February 15th, we'll write the report I was gonna propose having a.

1:6:11.400 --> 1:6:16.690

Dwinell, Steve

And it's, you know, the pleasure of the board, obviously. But then we also have a meeting in February.

1:6:18.610 --> 1:6:24.920

Dwinell, Steve

To we can talk about the fact that we've produced a report, but the other thing, one of the legislative charges.

1:6:25.540 --> 1:6:37.250

Dwinell, Steve

For the board is to look at bills that have been introduced into the session that affect the area of responsibility of the board and and.

1:6:38.450 --> 1:6:55.480

Dwinell, Steve

Provide. I think it says provide guidance to the legislature, provide comments and legislature. So I feel like we're gonna, if we're gonna meet that legislative responsibility, we need to have a meeting in February and go over any bills that potentially touch on what it is. The board is responsible for.

1:6:57.70 --> 1:7:4.160

Dwinell, Steve

But again, that's that's at the pleasure of the board. If you wanna meet in February or if you wanna defer till March to meet.

1:7:7.620 --> 1:7:10.350

Dwinell, Steve

So any thoughts about that board members?

1:7:21.640 --> 1:7:23.10

Dwinell, Steve

Should we meet in February?

1:7:22.30 --> 1:7:31.640

Terence Bradshaw

I I, yeah, I'm. I'll pipe in. I kind of thought we were gonna be front loading a little bit for the next couple few months. So I I February makes sense to me.

1:7:34.130 --> 1:7:34.390

Dwinell, Steve

Good.

1:7:38.290 --> 1:7:38.620

Griffith, Morgan

I know.

1:7:37.870 --> 1:7:48.820

DiPietro, Laura

The front loading is probably good because you'll probably lose some people once cropping starts as well, right? So this even though you may or may not have too much to talk about, it might be worth just continuing on the agenda goal.

1:7:53.330 --> 1:7:53.580

Dwinell, Steve

Yep.

1:7:56.40 --> 1:8:21.70

Griffith, Morgan

Well, I was going to say for detail wise and I don't know if number still have it on their calendars, but February 27th was originally blocked out and that a reoccurring meeting that we had with Kanika. So that was the date that was in my head for February meeting just because it may already be there if you guys never declined that meeting but.

1:8:29.710 --> 1:8:41.950

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah. What I'd like to propose, we we're, you know, we're kind of in catch up mode there starting in November. But now that we're kind of on a on a on track here. So what I'd like to do is let's have the meeting on February 27th.

1:8:43.330 --> 1:9:4.590

Dwinell, Steve

And we'll make a proposal at that time for a meeting schedule for the rest of the year, we'll sit down and we'll we'll kick it around and come up with a a game plan, a work plan to get what we need to get done, done, and then they would, you know, we can have a discussion about a work plan for the remainder of the year to accomplish whatever it is we're supposed to accomplish.

1:9:5.250 --> 1:9:5.840

Dwinell, Steve

Umm.

1:9:7.350 --> 1:9:11.900

Dwinell, Steve

Between now and, you know, the end of calendar 23.

1:9:14.990 --> 1:9:34.960

Dwinell, Steve

And I'd also again, like propose we meet at veil the room that we were meeting in in State Street actually has another that's the the time we normally meet is when the the, the, the rest of the agency has a meeting with the Secretary at that time. So we really.

1:9:36.150 --> 1:9:42.500

Dwinell, Steve

Need to be in a different location so veil is available correct. Morgan, you got that booked.

1:9:42.390 --> 1:9:43.530

Griffith, Morgan

Yep. Mm-hmm.

1:9:43.420 --> 1:9:43.830

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah.

1:9:45.270 --> 1:9:47.840

Dwinell, Steve

And then the other thing is is.

1:9:48.960 --> 1:9:57.910

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah. If we actually can actually meet in person at Vail at the next meeting, we'll do a tour of the laboratory at the end. Anybody who's interested in.

1:9:58.440 --> 1:10:2.60

Dwinell, Steve

Umm, you can take a we'll do a quick laboratory tour.

1:10:2.960 --> 1:10:3.440

Dwinell, Steve

So.

1:10:5.460 --> 1:10:6.10

Dwinell, Steve

Laura.

1:10:5.420 --> 1:10:8.630

DiPietro, Laura

And just a reminder, Steve, I'm happy to come to Vail.

1:10:9.30 --> 1:10:21.90

DiPietro, Laura

Umm, I don't know where all the folks are located, but Williston office has a large conference room and web capability and all that stuff as well, because I think a lot of the people here are more Chittenden County focused, or at least Washington.

1:10:22.230 --> 1:10:26.420

DiPietro, Laura

And then, but I know there's others that are not, so I just want to say make sure you know that resource is available.

1:10:27.240 --> 1:10:27.450

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah.

1:10:29.80 --> 1:10:37.480

Dwinell, Steve

Why don't we go ahead and meet a veil this next time? And then we, when we do our work plan and we can discuss meeting locations or or rotating them or whatever we do.

1:10:39.250 --> 1:10:40.460

Dwinell, Steve

They have other options.

1:10:39.130 --> 1:10:43.930

Clara Ayer

Steve, that's Randolph. Is that what you're suggesting? Yeah. OK.

1:10:42.0 --> 1:10:51.240

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah, yeah, yeah. I'm sorry. Yeah. Yeah. Randolph Center, right. Yeah. And of course, we'll always have the call in option or the the teams meeting option.

1:10:52.310 --> 1:10:53.580

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah. So.

1:10:55.990 --> 1:10:56.740

Dwinell, Steve

It's Stephanie.

1:10:57.300 --> 1:11:21.590

Griffith, Morgan

Yeah. No, I just. Clara, you asked whether or not the survey results will be in by then. So we can, I mean today's the 23rd. So we can obviously share this out with the distribution list that you all have discussed with those additions that were added today. And so we could potentially have that that survey completed and hopefully some analysis as well.

1:11:23.200 --> 1:11:31.470

Dwinell, Steve

Yeah, it'll be interesting. And which could help influence the work plan too. The rest of the year, depending on what what comes out of that survey.

1:11:32.580 --> 1:11:33.860

Dwinell, Steve

So OK.

1:11:34.950 --> 1:11:37.550

Dwinell, Steve

Well, we are. You guys ready to move on to public comments?

1:11:40.420 --> 1:11:44.0

Dwinell, Steve

OK, so we are open for public comments.

1:11:45.650 --> 1:11:48.130

Dwinell, Steve

Anybody in the public would like to?

1:11:49.790 --> 1:11:50.660

Dwinell, Steve
Address the board.

1:12:1.700 --> 1:12:3.640

Dwinell, Steve
Yeah, I'm seeing any public comments.

1:12:4.880 --> 1:12:8.350

Dwinell, Steve
So I guess at this point, could we get a motion to adjourn?

1:12:12.500 --> 1:12:13.80

Clara Ayer
I moved.

1:12:14.90 --> 1:12:15.900

Dwinell, Steve
Anybody's second that.

1:12:17.600 --> 1:12:18.70

Terence Bradshaw
2nd.

1:12:18.780 --> 1:12:20.290

Dwinell, Steve
OK. All in favor of adjournment?

1:12:22.200 --> 1:12:22.550

Terence Bradshaw
Aye.

1:12:22.600 --> 1:12:23.70

Clara Ayer
I.

1:12:21.980 --> 1:12:23.160

DiPietro, Laura
Aye, aye.

1:12:23.670 --> 1:12:29.520

Dwinell, Steve
Alright, thank you, everybody and you'll be getting some emails here fairly soon with some stuff to look at.

1:12:30.650 --> 1:12:31.740

Dwinell, Steve
Like, thank you very much.

1:12:32.340 --> 1:12:32.990

Terence Bradshaw

Thank you.

1:12:32.770 --> 1:12:33.40

Griffith, Morgan

OK.

1:12:33.580 --> 1:12:34.360

Earl Ransom

Thanks, bye.

1:12:33.340 --> 1:12:34.670

DiPietro, Laura

Thank you. Good to see everyone.